### A CPS-based IR for the LLVM Backend

Johann Rudloff

Idris Developer Meeting, May 2022

May 20, 2022

## CPS - Continuation Passing Style

- Every call is a tail-call
- ► Every function ends with a tail-call
- ► All function arguments are "atomic" (variable, constant)
- Functions never return (no call stack required!)
- Control flow is made explicit

#### Benefits of CPS

- No call stack → trivial scan for GC roots
- ► Full program state (current continuation + arguments) can be easily captured/suspended at any moment
  - Perfect foundation to implement lightweight threads
- Can (almost) directly be mapped to machine code
- Often cited: Easier optimisations?
- (Is this a valid reason?) Almost everyone else (in the functional space) does it: SML, several Schemes, GHC (kind of)

#### Transformation to CPS

- ► Things that would happen after a return, get wrapped in a "continuation" and passed as argument
- All functions are transformed to take a continuation as their first argument
  - This creates lots of closures, performance impact to be measured
- Instead of returning, invoke that continuation
- For LLVM, a "Lambda Lifting"-like step is required afterwards
- This can be described as "wrapping the program structure inside-out"
- ► In summary: Total brainfuck

# Transformation to CPS - Implementation NamedCExp -> Core CPSExpr

```
public export
```

- Implemented in a backend-agnostic way
- ▶ Potentially beneficial for other backends, esp. JavaScript

## Memory Allocation - The Easy Part

- Functions contain no loops
- Max required memory can be statically inferred for all<sup>1</sup> functions
- Heap check at function entry, if space is not enough, jump to GC
  - ► GC is invoked with current function and all its arguments
  - Since functions never return, there is no (call-)stack to be scanned for GC roots
  - GC then "restarts" the function
- ▶ When enough heap available: simple "bump allocation"



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>with exceptions, see next slide

## Memory Allocation - The Challenging Part

- Non-trivial programs contain allocations of statically unknown size
  - String primitives: Str{Append,Cons,Reverse,Substr,Tail}
  - ► (Big) Integer arithmetic
  - Buffer, IOArray
- Current solution: wrap operators which require dynamic allocations in a primitive function, perform hand-crafted heap check on entry

## Current Roadmap for the CPS-based LLVM Backend

- ightharpoonup re-implement code-generation for new IR ( $\sim$  80% done)
- ightharpoonup adjust compiler primitives and "builtins" for new allocation mechanism ( $\sim 90\%$  done)
- hook up the GC (prepared with stubs)
  - should be straight-forward but surprises may lurk here
- current progress: 1 5 12 20 out of 22 tests passing<sup>2</sup>
- the big milestone: self-hosting
- figure out "how to FFI"

#### Source Code

- "rapid" an Idris2 LLVM Backend "cps" branch (active) https://git.sr.ht/~cypheon/rapid/tree/cps
- ► Idris2 CPS Transform with a dummy JS backend (bit dated) https://git.sr.ht/~cypheon/idris2-cps

#### References

- Code & Co.: Compiling With CPS https://jozefg.bitbucket.io/posts/2015-04-30-cps.html
- Jared Tobin: Transforming to CPS https://jtobin.io/transforming-to-cps
- Matt Might: How to compile with continuations https://matt.might.net/articles/cps-conversion/
- Appel, A. W., and Jim, T. 1989. "Continuation-passing, closure-passing style," in Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages POPL '89, Austin, Texas, United States: ACM Press, pp. 293–302.
  https://dl.acm.org/doi/obs/10.1145/75277.75303
  - https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/75277.75303
- Appel, A. W. 1992. Compiling with continuations; Cambridge University Press.